

Physics of Leap Second

Takehisa Fujita¹ and Naohiro Kanda²

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology,
Nihon University, Tokyo, Japan

Abstract

The physical origin of the leap second is discussed in terms of the new gravity model. The calculated time shift of the earth rotation around the sun for one year amounts to $\Delta T \simeq 0.621 \text{ s/year}$. According to the data, the leap second correction for one year corresponds to $\Delta T \simeq 0.63 \pm 0.03 \text{ s/year}$, which is in perfect agreement with the prediction. This shows that the leap second is not originated from the rotation of the earth in its own axis. Instead, it is the same physics as the Mercury perihelion shift. We propose a novel dating method (Leap Second Dating) which enables to determine the construction date of some archaeological objects such as Stonehenge.

¹e-mail: fffujita@phys.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp

²e-mail: nkanda@phys.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

The observation of the Mercury perihelion shift is well known, and it is the advance shift which can be described in terms of the time shift as [1]

$$\left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right) \simeq (2 - \varepsilon) \frac{G^2 M^2}{c^2 R^4 \omega^2} \quad (1.1)$$

where G , M , R , ω and ε denote the gravitational constant, the mass of the sun, the radius of the Mercury orbit, the angular velocity and the eccentricity, respectively. In this case, one may ask a question as to what should be the time shift for the earth. We can easily calculate the time shift for the earth rotation around the sun for one year

$$(\Delta T)_{th} \simeq 0.62 \text{ s/year} \quad (1.2)$$

where T is taken to be $T = 365.24 \text{ day} = 3.16 \times 10^7 \text{ s}$. This means that, as long as one agrees with the observed value of the Mercury perihelion advance shift, then one should accept the time shift of the earth rotation around the sun as given in eq.(1.2).

In fact, there is a good observation of the earth rotation around the sun, and this is expressed in terms of the leap second. Since people have made the 23 times corrections of the leap second for 36.5 years, the time shift per year becomes

$$(\Delta T)_{exp} \simeq 0.63 \pm 0.03 \text{ s/year}. \quad (1.3)$$

This agrees perfectly with the number which is predicted by the new gravity model calculation.

2 New Gravity Model

Now, we briefly describe how we can obtain eq.(1.1). Here, we start from the Dirac equation for fermions in the gravitational potential, and it is written as [2, 3]

$$\left[-i \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \left(m - \frac{GmM}{r} \right) \beta \right] \psi = E\psi. \quad (2.1)$$

It is essential that we should write the Dirac equation under the gravitational force. Otherwise, we cannot obtain the Newton equation starting from the most fundamental equation of motion. The first step is to reduce the Dirac equation to the non-relativistic form of the equation. This is of course straightforward, and one can obtain the non-relativistic equation of motion by making use of the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation [4]. Further, as the second step, we can make the classical limit of the Schrödinger equation, which is also a straightforward calculation. In this case, we obtain a new gravitational potential

$$V(r) = -\frac{GmM}{r} + \frac{1}{2mc^2} \left(\frac{GmM}{r} \right)^2. \quad (2.2)$$

The Newton equation with the new gravitational potential can be written as

$$m\ddot{r} = -\frac{GmM}{r^2} + \frac{\ell^2}{mr^3} + \frac{G^2M^2m}{c^2r^3} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\ell = mr^2\dot{\varphi}$. The orbit solution of eq.(2.3) can be written as

$$r = \frac{A}{1 + \varepsilon \cos\left(\varphi\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\eta\right)\right)} \quad (2.4)$$

where A and ε are given as

$$A = \frac{\ell^2}{GMm^2}(1 + \eta), \quad \varepsilon = \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\ell^2(1 + \eta)E}{m(GmM)^2}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Here, η is defined as

$$\eta = \frac{G^2M^2}{c^2R^4\omega^2} \quad (2.6)$$

where the angular velocity ω and radius R are defined as

$$\omega \equiv \frac{\ell}{mR^2}, \quad R \equiv \frac{\ell^2}{GMm^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Physical observables can be obtained by integrating $\dot{\varphi} = \frac{\ell}{mr^2}$ over the period T

$$\frac{\ell}{m} \int_0^T dt = \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 d\varphi = A^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \varepsilon \cos\left(\frac{L}{\ell}\varphi\right)\right)^2} d\varphi. \quad (2.8)$$

This can be easily calculated to be

$$\omega T = 2\pi(1 + 2\eta)(1 - \varepsilon\eta) \simeq 2\pi\{1 + (2 - \varepsilon)\eta\} \quad (2.9)$$

where ε is assumed to be small. Therefore, the new gravity potential gives rise to the advance shift of the period T , and it can be written as

$$\left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)_{th} \simeq (2 - \varepsilon)\eta. \quad (2.10)$$

This is the time shift which should be compared to experiment. It should be important to note that the calculated effect must be there, regardless the presence of other effects. Indeed, for the earth rotation around the sun, there may be some other effects such as the effects of the moon or other planets. However, as long as we carry out naive estimations of the moon effect, we see that the effects are wiped away because of the averaging over the motion. But still it may be interesting to calculate these effects in future as the corrections to the new gravitational potential effect.

3 Time Shift of Earth Rotation – Leap Second

Here, we calculate the time shift of the earth rotation around the sun. First, we evaluate the η

$$\eta = \frac{G^2 M^2}{c^2 R^4 \omega^2} \simeq 0.992 \times 10^{-8} \quad (3.1)$$

where we employ the following values for R , M and ω

$$R = 1.496 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}, \quad M = 1.989 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}, \quad \omega = 1.991 \times 10^{-7}.$$

In this case, we find the time shift for one year

$$(\Delta T)_{th} \simeq 0.621 \text{ s/year} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\varepsilon = 0.0167$ is taken. In fact, people have been making corrections for the leap second, and according to the data, they made the first leap second correction in June of 1972. After that, they have made the leap second corrections from December 1972 to December 2008. The total corrections amount to 23 seconds for 36.5 years since we should start from June 1972. This corresponds to the time shift per year

$$(\Delta T)_{exp} \simeq 0.63 \pm 0.03 \text{ s/year} \quad (3.3)$$

where the errors are supposed to come from one year shift of the observation. This agrees surprisingly well with the theoretical time shift of the earth.

4 Prediction from General Relativity

Here, we discuss the calculated result by the general relativity [5, 6]. People only considered the shift due to the angular variable φ as

$$\cos \varphi \longrightarrow \cos(1 - \gamma)\varphi \quad (4.1)$$

where γ is found to be

$$\gamma = \frac{3G^2 M^2}{c^2 R^4 \omega^2}. \quad (4.2)$$

In this case, one finds the physical observable

$$\omega T \simeq 2\pi(1 + 2\varepsilon\gamma). \quad (4.3)$$

Therefore, the time shift predicted by the general relativity becomes

$$(\Delta T)_{th} \simeq 0.031 \text{ s/year}. \quad (4.4)$$

This shows that it is much smaller than the observed time shift of the earth.

In reality, if the angular momentum is affected from the external potential as given in eq.(4.1), then not only the angular variable but also A in eq.(2.5) are changed, and therefore as the total effects of the physical observables, we find

$$\omega T \simeq 2\pi\{1 - 2(2 - \varepsilon)\gamma\} \quad (4.5)$$

which, unfortunately, gives a retreat shift. In this respect, the prediction of the general relativity disagrees with all the data observed in the Mercury perihelion shift, the GPS time shift and the leap second correction of the earth [1].

5 Leap Second Dating

Since we know quite accurately the time shift of the earth rotation around the sun by now, we may apply this time shift to the dating of some archaeological objects such as pyramids or Stonehenge. For example, the time shift of 1000 years amounts to 10.3 minutes, and some of the archaeological objects may well possess a special part of the building which can be pointed to the sun at the equinox. In this case, one may be able to find out the date when this object was constructed. This new dating procedure is basically useful for the stone-made archaeological objects in contrast to the dating of the wooden buildings which can be determined from the Carbon dating. It should be noted that the new dating method has an important assumption that there should be no major earthquake in the region of the archaeological objects.

References

- [1] T. Fujita and N. Kanda, "Novel Solution of Mercury Perihelion Shift", submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
- [2] T. Fujita, "Quantum Gravity without General Relativity", arXiv:0804.2518
- [3] T. Fujita, "Symmetry and Its Breaking in Quantum Field Theory", (2nd edition, Nova Science Publishers, 2009)
- [4] J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, "Relativistic Quantum Mechanics", (McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1964)
- [5] A. Einstein, "The foundation of the general theory of relativity", Annalen Phys. **49** (1916), 769
- [6] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, "Gravitation" (Freeman, 1973)